

Exhibit 145

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 MASTER DOCKET 18-MD-2865 (LAK)
4 CASE NO. 18-CV-09797

5 IN RE:
6 CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF
7 THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK
8 (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND
9 SCHEME LITIGATION

10

11

12 C O N F I D E N T I A L

13

14

15 REMOTE VTC VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UNDER ORAL

16 EXAMINATION OF

17 ARTHUR WOODARD

18

19 DATE: November 18, 2021

20

21

22

23

24

25 REPORTED BY: MICHAEL FRIEDMAN, CCR

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 15

1 and Kaye Scholer.

2 Q Can you tell us what was the nature
3 of your practice?

4 A In general, I characterize it as
5 employee benefits. A lot of people
6 characterize it as ERISA. That's sort of the
7 shorthand, but I think it's a little broader
8 than that, so I -- that's what I call it.

9 Q And as part of your practice, did
10 you deal with retirement plans?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And did that include 401(k) plans?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And solo 401(k) plans?

15 A Not typically.

16 Q What is a -- what is a 401(k) plan?

17 A I'm sorry?

18 Q Can you just --

19 A A 401(k)?

20 Q Yeah.

21 A These are -- 401(k) plans are is a
22 defined contribution plan that generally the
23 employer and employee make contributions to
24 in order to build up a retirement benefit on
25 a -- on a before tax basis.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 17

1 characterization.

2 I did not work that often with
3 Mr. Ben-Jacob before I retired in some -- a
4 couple of years, I think, he was at the firm
5 before I retired.

6 Q Did you understand that his client
7 base, as a general matter, were -- were
8 families or individuals with a net worth
9 somewhere between \$100 million up to a couple
10 billion dollars?

11 A No, I did not know with that
12 specificity.

13 Q Did you generally understand that
14 his practice was focused on high net worth
15 individuals or family offices?

16 A I really can't answer the question.
17 I -- I don't -- I -- I don't have a good
18 sense of what I thought at that point in
19 time.

20 Q Did there come a time when you were
21 working with Mr. Ben-Jacob for Argre
22 Management?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And what was Argre?

25 A They were a -- they were basically

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 18

1 a group of four individuals that had formed a
2 partnership for investment, I assume other
3 purposes.

4 Q And did the individuals include
5 John Van Merkensteijn and Richard Markowitz?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And how about Luke McGee and
8 Matthew Stein?

9 A I'm sorry, can you repeat it?

10 Q Luke McGee?

11 A I don't recollect.

12 Q Matthew Stein?

13 A I don't recollect.

14 Q Jerome Lhote?

15 A Yeah, I did.

16 Q And what do you recall of the first
17 transactions in which you were helping
18 Mr. Ben-Jacob with the four individuals that
19 you -- I guess three we've covered, Mr.
20 Merkensteijn, Mr. Markowitz and Mr. Lhote, I
21 think were the names that we -- we mentioned.

22 I think you said there were four
23 individuals but those three names, in
24 particular, you recall?

25 A Yeah. My memory is that there were

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 19

1 four.

2 And -- and you asked about the
3 first transaction. I'm -- I don't recollect
4 enough to really be able to give you a
5 coherent picture of what the first
6 transaction was.

7 Q Do you recall generally that you
8 worked with Mr. Ben-Jacob in helping these
9 individuals with respect to a -- a dividend
10 strategy?

11 A In general, I believe that's a
12 correct statement.

13 Q Do you recall that the Argre
14 individuals had done some work, some
15 investing with a person called Sanjay Shah
16 and his business in London called
17 Solo Capital?

18 A I have no recollection of that.

19 Q Do you recall the name Sanjay Shah?

20 A Yes.

21 Q What do you recall about Sanjay
22 Shah?

23 A That he was involved -- later in
24 the transaction, he was involved on behalf of
25 a company that is escaping me at the moment,

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 32

1 recollection, that happened well into -- we
2 had been working on the transaction for some
3 time before I knew anything about Solo.

4 Q And did you understand that the
5 Solo fee was about 66 percent, about
6 two-thirds of the reclaim?

7 A That number sounds correct for the
8 gross fee. They were -- my memory is that
9 they were responsible for all expenses, so
10 the fee came down to about 25 percent of net,
11 my memory.

12 Q And did you understand that the
13 fee, the plans were going to pay the fee to
14 Ganymede?

15 A No, I have no recollection of that.

16 Q Now, you see in the -- if you turn
17 to the next page of the document.

18 A Page?

19 MR. O'CONNOR: Can you give a Bates
20 number? I'm not sure what the next page
21 is.

22 Q Sure.

23 It's -- it's the Bates number --
24 and, sir, that's the -- the long number in
25 the bottom right-hand corner.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 33

1 A I see it.

2 I appreciate that because I would
3 not have known.

4 Q Yeah. That's 496.

5 A Okay. 496.

6 MR. O'CONNOR: Right here.

7 A Okay. I got -- I have it.

8 Q And you'll see that the -- the fee
9 here is the relevant percentage multiplied by
10 the net refund amount.

11 A I see it.

12 Q And if you turn, sir, to the
13 document, Bates ending with 500. 5-0-0.

14 A Yes.

15 Q You'll see a table there where the
16 relevant percentage is 67.5 percent?

17 A Yes, I see the table.

18 Q And did you understand that that
19 was Solo's fee?

20 A At some point in time I understood
21 that their fee was in the 60, the 70 percent
22 range.

23 My memory is not 67.5. My memory,
24 it's either 65 or 66, but I -- it's very
25 foggy.

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 53

1 not have had a contemporaneous discussion
2 about this at all.

3 Q Now, when did you retire, sir?

4 A June 30th, 2013.

5 Q And do you recall any discussions
6 prior to your retirement as to whether any
7 401(k) plans set up by Mr. Markowitz, Mr. Van
8 Merkensteijn were qualified and met the
9 qualification requirements?

10 A What I remember is some plans, I
11 cannot tell you which plans, perhaps all of
12 them, had this -- had entered into a volume
13 submitter arrangement, which, by definition,
14 means that plans are qualified.

15 I have an opinion letter from the
16 IRS that they're qualified.

17 Q Is one of the -- is one of the
18 requirements for a qualified plan that it be
19 established and operated for the exclusive
20 benefit of the participants or beneficiaries?

21 MR. O'CONNOR: Objection to form.

22 A Yes, it is under 401(a).

23 Q And was there an issue with these
24 plans if they were going to pay two-thirds of
25 the reclaims to Solo as to whether they could

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 60

1 plan?

2 MR. BAHNSEN: Objection to form.

3 A I have no recollection of that. I
4 can't even read it.

5 Q Sir, if I could ask you please to
6 turn to Exhibit 4512.

7 A 4512. I have it.

8 Q Is this an e-mail that
9 Mr. Ben-Jacob sent to you on or about
10 September 3 of 2013?

11 A It appears to be.

12 Q Is this after you had retired?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And did you continue to work on
15 these transactions after you had retired?

16 A Occasionally -- I had an
17 arrangement -- every retired partner had an
18 arrangement with the firm that if they were
19 asked to do something, they could, even
20 though I had -- for example, I had a
21 non-compete also, didn't apply to the firm
22 obviously, and I would get paid some nominal
23 amount for doing that.

24 And I believe that I consulted a
25 few times on Argre in the last six months. I

CONFIDENTIAL
Arthur Woodard - November 18, 2021

Page 64

1 that out.

2 Q Is there any additional issue that
3 comes to mind?

4 A I can say that, to my recollection,
5 the greatest amount of time was -- involved
6 prohibited transactions and potential excise
7 taxes under prohibited transactions that
8 could apply to one or more entities and/or
9 individuals in this transaction.

10 I would say more than half of the
11 time probably, maybe even closer to three
12 quarters of the time that I spent was on
13 prohibitive transactions.

14 Q Mr. Ben-Jacob goes on to say, "And
15 remind them why each is unique, et cetera."

16 A Again -- well, why -- oh --

17 Q Do you recall why each of these
18 major issues was unique here?

19 A I have no idea.

20 You would have to ask Michael what
21 he meant by that word.

22 Q He goes on to say, "And that when
23 taken together with, little i, the fact that
24 so much of this is facts and circumstances
25 that we would need to assume a way thus